	TATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
WESTERIV D	AT SEATTLE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR07-302RSM
Plaintiff,) 10. CR07-302R5W
v.) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE
OHN MALCOLM STEWART,) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE
	,
Defendant.)
(OHN MALCOLM STEWART,

Before this Court is a Motion to Continue the Trial Date;

The Court finds, after a consideration of all relevant information and the circumstances of this case, that the ends of justice would best be served by the granting of this request for continuance. The ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in having the matter brought to trial sooner.

For all the reasons presented in the files and records herein, the Court finds that the Motion to Continue the trial should be GRANTED. The trial date currently scheduled for March 11, 2008, is VACATED. Trial shall be rescheduled to May 19, 2008.

Any and all period of delay resulting from the granting of this continuance, from the date of the filing of Defendant's Motion to Continue on January 24, 2008, until the date of the rescheduled trial, shall be excludable time pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(F) and (h)(8)(A), and the Court makes the following findings:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	a. That the failure to grant such a continuance in this case would be likely
2	to result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(I);
3	b. That it is in the defendant's best interest to be aware of all of the
4	potential charges against him, and have the opportunity to negotiate settlements on all of
5	those charges;
6	c. That the failure to grant such a continuance in this case would deny
7	counsel for the defendant and the government the reasonable time necessary for effective
8	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, because of the existence of a
9	significant amount of discovery from both federal and state law enforcement authorities, and
10	the recent appointment of new counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(iv);
11	d. That because of the complexity of the case, the assignment of new
12	counsel and the involvement of cooperating co-conspirators, it may be unreasonable to
13	expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time
14	limits established by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).
15	IT IS SO ORDERED
16	DATED this _6_ day of February, 2008.
17	
18	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19	Presented by:
20	JEFFREY C. SULLIVAN United States Attorney
21	By:
22	
23	NORMAN M. BARBOSA Assistant United States Attorney
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	